

The reason that we are here is that, although these issues are national, it affects ALL of us, including all of you too.

As some of you are also County Councillors, we the people want you, as our public servants, to take this to county level on our behalf.

"The Government has used the Localism Act of 2011 to clarify the rules on predetermination. These rules were developed to ensure that councillors came to council discussions with an open mind," (Localism Act 2011). Whilst we have been advised that this doesn't apply at town level, it is, nevertheless, important that we are all open minded to seeing new and existing evidence.

With the spirit of this at the forefront, and that you put to one side your cognitive dissonance and biases that we all have, we ask you that you listen to and hear the information and questions that we have for you all. We thank you for this.

Somerset declared a climate emergency in 2019. We would like to see the evidence provided to you that the climate emergency is a direct result, as we are constantly being told, of human activity. There is evidence that this, in fact, is not true, and we have provided a document by the World Climate Declaration (www.clintel.org 2023), for your perusal, signed by 1500 professionals. In addition, a letter from a further 500 experts to the United Nations, say there is NO climate emergency (<https://tinyurl.com/bdd68pkz> October 2019).

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is an essential trace gas, essential for plant growth and all life forms and makes up 0.04% of our air (CO2coalition.org/facts/ 2023). Linking CO₂ to human activity is, therefore, questionable. We have an expert, Professor Ralph Ellis, on the climate who has offered to come and speak with you all.

Furthermore, "We are told that July 6th, 2022, was the hottest day ever. The Earth is 4.5 billion years old and geological records show we are living in one of the coldest periods of its history. Also, the well documented Medieval Warm Period circa 900-1300 was warmer than today. Oops! I forgot that period didn't happen, according to real climate change deniers at the IPCC. 'We've got to get rid of the Medieval Warm Period,' said one." (Dr Peter Oaten, Daily Express 31.7.2023).

We also request that you, as free-thinking individuals, do your own extensive research, which includes exploring the science from both sides, and, more importantly, who funds this science.

It could be suggested that the **alleged** human made climate emergency is being used as a tool for a top-down, corporate agenda of control and money making and, as such, we want to know if Bridgwater and Somerset are planning any of the following initiatives, in the future:

1. The use of technology, e.g., surveillance?

Vehicle number plate recognition as an example, to fine people for breaking potential restrictions. An example of this could be leaving your town more than 100 times per year.

2. The use of energy intensive telecommunication masts?

Leading on from the surveillance question... the recent ongoing roll out of 5G masts as well as the street lighting, which is also 5G enabled. One 5G mast requires the same power need as 43 family homes. The street lighting has LED lighting which has been scientifically proven to damage eyesight and is also 5G enabled. WHY do streetlights need to be 5G enabled? Hours of independent research by us and many around the country and world, suggest that 5G is for an Artificial Intelligence (AI) future, for which we do NOT consent. This ongoing electrification of our town, and other towns, is adding to the temperature increases by around 1.5 degrees Celsius. (radiationhealthrisks.com/5g-cell-towers-dangerous/ 2019). (theguardian.com/technology/2019/may/19/revealed-5g-rollout-is-being-stalled-by-rows-over-lamposts 2019). (ehtrust.oeg/report-5g-to-increase-energy-consumption-by-61-times 2021).

* Connecticut, USA Bans 5G due to 'serious health risk to humans' (Ref overleaf)

3. Usage of cars and other vehicles?

The word "car" has had the word "toxic" added to it. For example, the edition of the Bridgwater Mercury, in which proposals for the Celebration Mile were discussed, included the phrase "toxic cars." This phrase has also crept into mainstream media. Electric cars are being pushed to replace petrol and diesel. It has been argued that, in the 'rush' to replace fossil fuels, no one looked further than the exhaust pipe of electric vehicles. The lithium batteries are highly flammable, emit radiation and use child labour in poor countries to mine the lithium and cobalt needed for these batteries, which are not recyclable and, in some cases, uninsurable (bbc.com/future/article/20220105-lithium-batteries-big-unanswered-question 2022). (marsh.com/ie/industries/construction/insights/lithium/ion/battery-plants-risk-and-insurance-considerations.html 2022).

In addition, "The purpose of electric cars is because electricity supply is centrally controlled, and restrictions and rationing can be imposed easily. Furthermore, the range of electric vehicles is highly dependent on the extension and existence of the electrical grid. The primary target of the autonomous vehicle is the restriction of movement of the citizens, keeping the masses well within the big habitats (locked or unlocked)." (Dr Theodore Metsis, Environmental Pollution Specialist, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-theodore-metsis-0b1b074/>)

4. Restriction of traffic, movement and parking, in and around Bridgwater?

It has been noticed that the flower planters outside Prezzo's have appeared, similar in other towns, which have then been used to block roads, leading to emergency services (an ambulance in this case) being unable to access a building. (Witnessed on video, the ambulance drivers, along with members of the public having to move the planters). Furthermore, a conversation with a Thornbury resident led to us finding out that more than 70% of small businesses in the town have closed following the implementation of what is known there as a "Low Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN)."

** See over

5. Public Consultations. Are ALL the taxpaying residents of Bridgwater to be consulted on all future changes to the town?

Less than 500 people replied to the public consultation about Bridgwater's Celebration Mile, out of a population in excess of 40K. How does this figure, which equates to approximately 0.01% of the population of Bridgwater, give a consensus to this proposal? How were ALL residents notified of this public consultation? We suggest that this did not happen. Not everyone reads the local press or avidly researches the town and county council websites. It seems that only a few certain groups and /or establishments were notified, e.g. Bridgwater College. We suggest that it is your DUTY to write to every tax paying person in the town when providing public consultations. We also suggest that, when presenting evidence to the public, that BOTH sides of evidence are presented, in a factual, non-biased way, so the public can make a thoroughly informed decision. It has also been noted that it is not easy to find information on councils' websites! The Localism Act of 2011 quotes "...local residents to call local authorities to account for the careful management of taxpayers' money... [and] places significantly more influence in the hands of local people over issues that make a big difference to their lives."

For your further information:

Please refer to the...

1689 Bill of Rights... to protect the people from any potential future 'tyrannical' behaviour by any future authority.

1707 Act of Unity... the freedom of the people of this country to freely travel on any public highway throughout the UK.

Both are still in place.

We would like to thank you for your time and would like to arrange a further meeting, when you can come back to us with your findings and answers to our questions, so we can all work together with this. Again, we ask you all to follow the spirit of the Localism Act of 2011 and keep an open, unbiased mind.

* www.planet-today.com/2023/11/connedicut-city-bans-5g-due-to-serious.html
↑
(eleven)

** Cheltenham, Glos., is, by stealth, to become a '15 minute town' in 2024. Over 6000 properties will have to pay £150 per year for their car and £60 for their second car. Limited visitor passes per household will be allowed.

Residents are campaigning against the restrictive project but Gloucestershire County Council is pushing through, regardless of the complaints and statutory rights of each resident in the town.

- Please do your research -
